Original Paper

### NATURAL CORAL COLONIZATION OF A MARINA SEAWALL IN SINGAPORE

Chou Loke Ming<sup>†</sup>, NG Chin Soon Lionel<sup>‡</sup>, Chan Sek Meng Jeremy<sup>†</sup>, and Seow Liyun Angie<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, 14 Science Drive 4, Blk S1, #02-05, Singapore 117543

<sup>‡</sup> Tropical Marine Science Institute, National University of Singapore, 18 Kent Ridge Road, Blk S2S, Singapore 119227

Received : June, 7, 2010 ; Accepted : July, 26, 2010

#### ABSTRACT

Marinas require extensive modification of a natural coast. The resulting modified habitat is known to support changed biological communities but the ability of tropical marinas to function as a surrogate habitat for scleractinian corals has not been well investigated. An assessment of scleractinian corals naturally colonising a nine-year-old marina seawall in Singapore indicated 26 genera from 13 families, of which Pectinia and Turbinaria were the most dominant. Most colonies measured 10 - 25 cm in diameter. Reefs of adjacent islands provided the larval source while the marina's environmental conditions favored larval recruitment and growth. Specific larval settlement preferences as well as sediment rejection capabilities of the two most common genera could have contributed to their dominance. The study showed that the seawall of a marina can support scleractinian coral communities and with relevant management, can significantly enhance marine biodiversity.

Key words: Scleractinian diversity; seawall; Singapore; tropical marina

Correspondence : Tel: +65 65166867 ; Fax: +65 67792486 ; Email: tmsncsl@nus.edu.sg

## INTRODUCTION

Singapore's coastline has been heavily modified bv expanding infrastructure development necessitated by the demands from multiple sectors (Chou, 2006). The increasing popularity of sea-sport and marine-based recreation is evident from the development of new marinas that replace the natural coast with a highly modified one. Such conversions obliterate the original ecology, but the resulting modified habitat can continue to support marine biodiversity (McDonnell and Pickett, 1990). The proliferation of modified marine habitats is accompanied by growing interest in their influence on biodiversity (Connell and Glasby, 1999; Bacchiocchi and Airoldi, 2003; Chapman and Bulleri, 2003; Davis et al., 2002).

Human-engineered marine structures are known to function as new substrates for larval settlement, unlike in the terrestrial environment (Sutherland and Karlson, 1977; Butler, 1991; Glasby and Connell, 1999;). Several studies

demonstrated have also that biological assemblages on artificial marine structures and nearby natural habitats can be different (e.g. Chou and Lim, 1986; Glasby, 1999; Bulleri and Chapman, 2004; Perkol-Finkel and Benayahu, 2004). Modified marine habitats can indeed serve as novel coastal habitats (Perkol-Finkel et al., 2006) but how effective they are as surrogate habitats for the original marine biological diversity remains to be fully documented (Glasby and Connell, 1999). Studies on modified marine habitats were mostly based on fouling epibiota in temperate waters (Connell and Glasby, 1999; Glasby, 1999; Connell, 2000; Holloway and Connell, 2002; Chapman and Bulleri, 2003) but the increase of coastal urbanization, especially in the tropics, underscores the need to understand the contribution of modified marine habitats to marine biodiversity.

Marinas provide ample opportunity for understanding the role of modified habitats as they have a variety of artificial structures to facilitate recreational boating (Bulleri and Chapman, 2004). Southeast Asia had over 30 marinas at the turn of the century (Goh *et al.*, 2000) and new ones continue to be built. Marinas are designed to shelter moored boats against strong waves and currents (Iannuzzi *et al.*, 1996). They result in the creation of a semienclosed system where hydrodynamic and other coastal environmental processes are altered due to the reduction of wave action and flushing (Hinwood, 1998).

The reduced tidal flow is compounded further by boat maintenance activities that result in pollutant leaching and possible eutrophication (Allen et al., 1992; McAllister et al., 1996). Depressed water quality and sediment accumulation at the bottom alter benthic biological community structure and habitat complexity (Turner et al., 1997). Despite the detrimental effect of marinas on water quality and biodiversity, they warrant study as specialized ecological habitats as more are being developed (Holloway and Connell, 2002) at the expense of natural habitats. They are known to attract and support specific assemblages of marine organisms (Connell and Glasby, 1999; Davis et al., 2002; Bacchiocchi and Airoldi, 2003; Detheir et al., 2003). The general lack of biological assessments relating to artificial structures in marinas also necessitates further

investigation (Bulleri and Chapman, 2004).

Singapore has seven marinas, of which one of the newest is ONE°15 Marina at Sentosa Island, south of the Singapore mainland. Previous investigations of other marinas included marine biodiversity assessments and bioremediation experiments at Raffles Marina (Chou *et al.*, 2004), as well as water quality studies at Punggol Marina (Goh *et al.*, 2000). ONE°15 Marina was constructed in 1991 over an area that previously supported a fringing reef. This investigation was initiated to examine the natural colonization of scleractinian corals on the interior seawall of the marina and to assess the effectiveness of the seawall as an artificial habitat for coral growth.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

ONE°15 Marina (1°14.753'N, 103°50.490'E) (**Fig. 1**) is situated in an area that was reclaimed from 1991 to 1993. Its development was completed in 1998. Installation of pontoons and pilings began in 2005, making it fully operational. The marina is also capable of berthing up to 270 boats, including 13 mega yachts. The perimeter of the marina is lined by a granite rock seawall sloping down to a sandy-silt bottom, compared to other marinas in Singapore, which have vertical concrete walls down to a sandy-silt bottom.



Fig. 1 Map of Singapore and the southern islands. (Inset: Sampling sites within ONE°15 Marina. Each black dot represents one transect. The area within the dotted lines was excluded because it consisted of a sandy bottom and had no coral growth.)

A scleractinian coral diversity assessment was conducted from September 2006 to February 2007. Preliminary surveys revealed the presence of corals only on the granite rock seawall but not the sand-silt bottom. Twenty belt transects (25 m in length; 50 m apart) were established starting from the bottom of the seawall (~3m). Meandering swim searches were made along each transect while slowly ascending until all coral colonies were recorded. The colonies within each 25-m belt were counted and identified to genus. The maximum diameter of all colonies was measured and categorized into four size classes ( $x \le 5$  cm, 5 cm  $< x \le 10$  cm, 10 cm  $< x \le 25$  cm, 25 cm  $< x \le 50$  cm).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### RESULTS

Twenty-six genera belonging to 13 families were observed on the granite rock seawall of the marina (**Table 1**).

Family	Genus
Acroporidae	Astreopora, Montipora
Agariciidae	Pavona
Dendrophyllidae	Turbinaria
Faviidae	Cyphastrea, Favia, Favites, Goniastrea, Leptastrea, Oulastrea, Platygyra
Fungiidae	Fungia, Lithophyllon, Podabacia
Merulinidae	Hydnophora, Merulina
Mussidae	Symphyllia
Oculinidae	Galaxea
Pectiniidae	Oxypora, Pectinia
Pocilloporidae	Pocillopora
Poritidae	Goniopora, Porites
Siderastreidae	Psammocora, Pseudosiderastrea
Trachyphylliidae	Trachyphyllia

In all, 563 coral colonies were counted. The two most common genera were *Pectinia* and *Turbinaria*, with the former accounting for one-third of all the colonies (**Fig. 2**).

Colonies of most genera were in the size range of 10 - 25 cm diameter, and none exceeded 50 cm.



Fig. 2. Size class distribution of scleractinians in ONE<sup>0</sup>15 Marina. (AST, Astreopora; CYP, Cyphastrea; FAV, Favia; FVS, Favites; FUN, Fungia; GAL, Galaxea; GOS, Goniastrea; GON, Goniopora; HYD, Hydnophora; LEP, Leptastrea; LIT, Lithophyllon; MER, Merulina; MON, Montipora; OUL, Oulastrea; OXY, Oxypora; PAV, Pavona; PEC, Pectinia; PLA, Platygyra; POC, Pocillopora; POD, Podabacia; POR, Porites; PSA, Psammocora; PSE, Pseudosiderastrea; SYM, Symphyllia; TRA, Trachyphyllia; TUR, Turbinaria.)

#### DISCUSSION

No marine biodiversity surveys were carried out at Sentosa before land reclamation and the subsequent destruction of the reefs at the eastern shore in 1991. However, reef monitoring data exist for nearby islands (Kusu, St John's and Sisters) at similar depths. The natural colonization by 26 scleractinian genera in the marina represents almost half the total number of genera (56) known for Singapore reefs (Huang *et al.*, 2009). This indicates that the marina's environment favored the natural colonization of scleractinian corals and suggests that modified habitats like marinas are able to support naturally occurring biota.

The marina's proximity to many offshore islands and reefs could have supported the reestablishment of scleractinian corals in the marina. Most of the main island's southwestern coast and many of the southern offshore islands are fringed by coral reefs (Chou and Tun, 2005). Mass coral spawning events occur in Singapore reefs (Guest et al., 2002), and the marina likely benefits from the influx of coral larvae transported by tidal currents. Genera that are common in the marina (Pectinia, Turbinaria, Porites, Platygyra, Favia) are also frequently encountered on the reefs of the southern islands (Chou, 1988). This further supports the role of the southern islands' coral reefs as a larval source.

Apart from larval supply, water exchange is another important factor that could account for the presence of coral colonies in the marina. Although the marina is able to support a diversity of genera commonly observed on Singapore reefs, coral cover on the seawall remained sparse, with an average distance of 1 m between colonies in most of the locations where they occurred. The sparse cover is attributed to calmer waters in the sheltered conditions. This modifies the hydrodynamic flow in the marina, which promotes sediment settlement (Allen et al., 1992). Sediment accumulation adversely affects coral larvae settlement and effectively reduces the surface area that can be colonized (Babcock and Davies, 1991; Gilmour, 1999). Successfully settled larvae are subjected to high postsettlement mortality due to sediment smothering and light attenuation (Fabricius and Wolanski, 2000).

Coral larvae do not settle randomly

(Harrison and Wallace, 1990) but exhibit settlement preferences that influence the distribution of adult colonies (Lewis, 1974; Morse et al., 1988; Carlon and Olson, 1993; Baird and Hughes, 2000). The larvae of the two most common genera, Pectinia and Turbinaria could possess settlement preferences that allowed them to colonize the marina, favoring their dominance. Additionally, the responses of Pectinia and Turbinaria colonies to high sedimentation could also contribute to their dominance of this modified habitat. Both have sediment rejection capabilities ranging from the use of active (ciliary transport, tissue expansion and mucus production) to passive means (skeletal morphology that directs sediment away) (Stafford-Smith and Ormond, 1992).

Size range data provided an indication of the growth rates of the scleractinian community in this modified habitat. The earliest possible settlement of coral larvae would be in 1998 when construction of the seawall was completed, a nine-year span before the survey in 2007. It is unclear whether coral growth is affected by the sheltered environment of the marina, but growth rates for the more common genera can be estimated. Assuming that Pectinia, the most dominant genus colonized the marina soon after its construction was completed in 1998, and from the present size of 10 - 50 cm diameter of most colonies, the average growth rate of this genus is approximately 1 – 5 cm/yr. Most Turbinaria colonies measured 10 cm or less, indicating a growth rate of about 1 cm/yr. Similar annual growth rates of ~2.5 cm for Pectinia sp. on the natural reefs of Singapore have been reported (Lane, 1991), while T. mesenterina and T. *peltata* grew 1.14 cm/vr and 1.20 cm/vr respectively in a reef restoration effort in India (Mathews and Patterson Edward, 2005). The growth rates of Pectinia and Turbinaria in ONE°15 Marina are comparable to those in habitats. suggesting that the natural environmental conditions in the marina are favorable to scleractinian growth, at least for the dominant genera.

# CONCLUSION

The current investigation showed that an appreciable diversity of scleractinians has naturally colonized the marina over nine years

in the absence of any active restoration effort. The observations indicate that modified habitats with suitable conditions can support the natural recruitment and development of scleractinians and contribute to biodiversity maintenance. The presence of scleractinians indicates the suitability of the water quality and circulation patterns within the marina, paving the way for active coral restoration to be considered.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank the management of ONE°15 Marina for facilitating our investigation just before full operation commenced and for all the assistance provided.

# References

- Allen, J.R., S.J. Hawkins, G. Russell, and K.N. White. 1992. Eutrophication and urban renewal: Problems and perspectives for the management of disused docks. *Sci Total Environ Supplement*, Pp. 1283-1295.
- Babcock, R.C. and F. Davies. 1991. Effects of sediment on the settlement of *Acropora millepora*. *Coral Reefs* 9(4):205-208.
- Bacchiocchi, F. and L. Airoldi. 2003. Distribution and dynamics of epibiota on hard structures for coastal protection. *Estuar Coast Shelf S* 56:1157–1166.
- Baird, A.H. and T.P. Hughes. 2000. Competitive dominance by tabular corals: an experimental analysis of recruitment and survival of understorey assemblages. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 251:117-132.
- Bulleri, F. and M.G. Chapman. 2004. Intertidal assemblages on artificial and natural habitats in marinas on the north-west coast of Italy. *Mar Biol* 145:381–391.
- Butler, A.J., 1991. Effect of patch size on communities of sessile invertebrates in Gulf St Vincent, South Australia. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 153:252-280.

- Carlon, D. and R. Olson. 1993. Larval dispersal distance as an explanation of adult spatial patterns in two Caribbean reef corals. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 173:247-263.
- Chapman, M.G. and F. Bulleri. 2003. Intertidal seawalls—new features of landscape in intertidal environments. *Landscape Urban Plan* 62:159–172.
- Chou, L.M. and T.M. Lim. 1986. A preliminary study of the coral community on artificial and natural substrates. *Malay Nat J* 39:225-229.
- Chou, L.M. and K. Tun. 2005. Status of coral reefs in Southeast Asian countries: Singapore. *In*: Status of Coral Reefs in East Asian Seas Region: 2004. Japan: Ministry of the Environment. Pp. 53-69.
- Chou, L.M. 1988. Community structure of sediment-stressed reefs in Singapore. *Galaxea* 7:101-111.
- Chou, L.M. 2006. Marine habitats in one of the world's busiest harbours. *In*: Wolanski, E. (ed.), *The Environment in Asia Pacific Harbours*. Springer. The Netherlands. Pp. 377-391.
- Chou, L.M., Z. Jaafar, and Y. Yatiman. 2004. Marine ecology of Raffles Marina and a pilot study on bio-remediators to improve its water quality. *In*: Phang, S.M., V.C. Chong, S.C. Ho, H.M. Noraieni, and J.L.S. Ooi (eds.), *Marine Science into the New Millenium*. Malaysia University of Malaya Maritime Research Centre. Kuala Lumpur. Pp. 649-660.
- Connell S.D. and T.M. Glasby. 1999. Do urban structures influence local abundance and diversity of subtidal epibiota? A case study from Sydney Harbour, Australia. *Mar Environ Res* 47:373-387.
- Connell, S.D. 2000. Floating pontoons create novel habitats for subtidal epibiota. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol.* 247(2):183-194.
- Davis, J.L.D., L.A. Levin, and S.M. Walther. 2002. Artificial armored shorelines: sites

for open-coast species in a southern California bay. *Mar Biol* 140:1249–1262.

- Dethier, M.N., K. McDonald, and R.R. Strathmann. 2003. Colonization and connectivity of habitat patches for coastal marine species distant from source populations. *Conserv Biol.* 17:1024-1035.
- Fabricius, K.E. and E. Wolanski. 2000. Rapid smothering of coral reef organisms by muddy marine snow. *Estuar Coast Shelf S.* 50(1):115-120.
- Gilmour, J. 1999. Experimental investigation into the effects of suspended sediments on fertilization, larval survival and settlement in a scleractinian coral. *Mar Biol.* 135:451-462.
- Glasby, T.M. and S.D. Connell. 1999. Urban structures as marine habitats. *Ambio*. 28:595-598.
- Glasby, T.M. 1999. Differences between subtidal epibiota on pier pilings and rocky reefs at marinas in Sydney, Australia. *Estuar Coast Shelf S.* 48:281– 290.
- Goh, B., S. Nayar, and L.M. Chou. 2000. The waters in our marinas: does green really mean clean? *Marinas* 6. 10pp.
- Guest, J.R., A.H. Baird, B.P.L. Goh, and L.M. Chou. 2002. Multispecific, synchronous coral spawning in Singapore. *Coral Reefs*. 21:422-423.
- Harrison, P.L. and C.C. Wallace. 1990. Reproduction, dispersal and recruitment of scleractinian corals. *In*: Dubinsky, Z. (ed.), *Ecosystems of the World, Vol 25*, *Coral Reef Ecosystems*. Elsevier. Amsterdam. Pp. 133-207.
- Hinwood, J. 1998. Marina water quality and sedimentation. *Marinas* 5. 4pp.
- Holloway, M.G. and S.D. Connell. 2002. Why do floating structures create novel habitats for subtidal epibiota? *Mar Ecol*

Prog Ser. 235:43-52.

- Huang, D., K.P.P. Tun, L.M. Chou, and P.A. Todd. 2009. An inventory of zooanthellate scleractinian corals in Singapore, including 33 new records. *Raffles Bull Zool Supplement*. 22:69-80.
- Iannuzzi, T.J., M.P. Weinstein, K.G. Sellner, and J.C. Barrett. 1996. Habitat disturbance and marina development: an assessment of ecological effects. I. Changes in primary production due to dredging and marina construction. *Estuaries*. 19(2):257-271.
- Lane, D.J.W. 1991. Growth of scleractinian corals on sediment-stressed reefs at Singapore. *In*: Alcala, A.C. (ed.), *Proceedings of the Regional Symposium on Living Resources in Coastal Areas*. University of the Philippines. Manila. Pp. 97-106.
- Lewis, J.B. 1974. Settlement and growth factors influencing the contagious distribution of some Atlantic reef corals. *In*: Cameron, A.M., B.M. Campbell, A.B. Cribb, R. Endean, J.S. Jell, O.A. Jones, P. Mather, and F.H. Talbot (eds.). *Proceedings of the Second International Coral Reef Symposium*. The Great Barrier Reef Committee. Brisbane. 2:201-206.
- Mathews, G. and J.K. Patterson Edward. 2005. Feasibility of enhancing coral biomass by transplanting branching and nonbranching corals – a preliminary report. *In*: Patterson Edward, J.K., A. Murugan, and J. Patterson (eds.), *Proceedings of the National Seminar on Reef Ecosystems Remediation*. Suganthi Devadason Marine Research Institute. India. 9:84-89.
- McAllister, T.L., M.F. Overton, and E.D. Brill Jr. 1996. Cumulative impact of marinas on estuarine water quality. *Environ Manage*. 20(3):385-396.
- McDonnell, M.J. and S.T.A. Pickett. 1990. Ecosystem structure and function along urban–rural gradients: an unexploited opportunity for ecology. *Ecology*. 71:1232–1237.

- Morse, D.E., N. Hooker, A.N.C. Morse, and R.A. Jensen. 1988. Control of larval metamorphosis and recruitment in sympatric agariciid corals. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol.* 116:193-217.
- Perkol-Finkel, S. and Y. Benayahu. 2004. Community structure of stony and soft corals on vertical unplanned artificial reefs in Eilat (Red Sea): comparison to natural reefs. *Coral Reefs*. 23:195–205.
- Perkol-Finkel, S., G. Zilman, I. Sella, T. Miloh, and Y. Benayahu. 2006. Floating and fixed artificial habitats: effects of substratum motion on benthic communities in a coral reef environment. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser.* 317:9-20.

- Stafford-Smith, M.G. and R.F.G. Ormond. 1992. Sediment-rejection mechanisms of 42 species of Australian scleractinian corals. *Aus J Mar Freshw Res.* 43:683-705.
- Sutherland, J.P. and R.H. Karlson. 1977. Development and stability of the fouling community at Beaufort, North Carolina. *Ecol Monogr.* 47:425–446.
- Turner, S.J., S.F. Thrush, V.J. Cummings, J.B. Hewitt, M.R. Wilkinson, R.B. Williamson, and D.J. Lee. 1997. Changes in epifaunal assemblages in response to marina operation and boating activities. *Mar Environ Res.* 43(3):181-199.

### TABLES

Table 1. Scleractinian diversity in ONE°15 Marina.

Family	Genus
Acroporidae	Astreopora, Montipora
Agariciidae	Pavona
Dendrophyllidae	Turbinaria
Faviidae	Cyphastrea, Favia, Favites, Goniastrea, Leptastrea, Oulastrea,
	Platygyra
Fungiidae	Fungia, Lithophyllon, Podabacia
Merulinidae	Hydnophora, Merulina
Mussidae	Symphyllia
Oculinidae	Galaxea
Pectiniidae	Oxypora, Pectinia
Pocilloporidae	Pocillopora
Poritidae	Goniopora, Porites
Siderastreidae	Psammocora, Pseudosiderastrea
Trachyphylliidae	Trachyphyllia

ISSN :

Accredited :

### FIGURES



Figure 1. Map of Singapore and the southern islands. (Inset: Sampling sites within ONE<sup>o</sup>15 Marina. Each black dot represents one transect. The area within the dotted lines was excluded because it consisted of a sandy bottom and had no coral growth.)



Figure 2. Size class distribution of scleractinians in ONE°15 Marina. (AST, *Astreopora*; CYP, *Cyphastrea*; FAV, *Favia*; FVS, *Favites*; FUN, *Fungia*; GAL, *Galaxea*; GOS, *Goniastrea*; GON, *Goniopora*; HYD, *Hydnophora*; LEP, *Leptastrea*; LIT, *Lithophyllon*; MER, *Merulina*; MON, *Montipora*; OUL, *Oulastrea*; OXY, *Oxypora*; PAV, *Pavona*; PEC, *Pectinia*; PLA, *Platygyra*; POC, *Pocillopora*; POD, *Podabacia*; POR, *Porites*; PSA, *Psammocora*; PSE, *Pseudosiderastrea*; SYM, *Symphyllia*; TRA, *Trachyphyllia*; TUR, *Turbinaria*.)

ISSN : Accredited :